## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RORY M. WALSH, : CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:05-CV-0818

•

Plaintiff : (Judge Conner)

**v.** 

.

THE UNITED STATES OF

:

AMERICA, et al.,

**Defendants** 

## **ORDER**

AND NOW, this 12th day of June, 2006, upon consideration of *pro se* plaintiff's motion for "default judgment" (Doc. 66), arguing that plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (Doc. 61) should be granted because defendants failed to oppose it, see L.R. 7.6, and it appearing that the court already ruled on the motion for reconsideration (see Doc. 64), it is hereby ORDERED that the motion for "default judgment" (Doc. 66) is DENIED as moot.

/s/ Christopher C. Conner CHRISTOPHER C. CONNER United States District Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff was apparently unaware of defendants' timely brief in opposition (Doc. 63), filed on the same day as the instant motion. See L.R. 7.6 (stating that a brief in opposition shall be filed within fifteen days after service of the brief in support); see also FED. R. CIV. P. 6(a) (providing the method for computing periods of time); id. 6(e) ("Whenever a party must or may act within a prescribed period after service and service is made under Rule 5(b)(2)(B), (C), or (D), 3 days are added after the prescribed period would otherwise expire under subdivision (a).").